UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,624	05/01/2006	Christophe Colignon	PSA0313156	3686
29980 7590 12/16/2008 NICOLAS E. SECKEL EXAMINER				
Patent Attorney			NGUYEN, TU MINH	
	cticut Avenue, NW Suite 700 ΓΟΝ, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/595,624	COLIGNON, CH	RISTOPHE				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TU M. NGUYEN	3748					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Tu M. Nguyen (examiner)</u> .	(3)						
(2) Nicolas E. Seckel (attorney).	(4)						
Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Plote et al. (U.S. Patent 7,017,337) and Bolz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,510,685).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argument that Plote et al. raise a lower threshold, instead of determining a maximum duration for applying postiniections as claimed, in order to terminate a regeneration step of the depollution means, is persuasive; therefore, the claims appear allowable pending further search and consideration.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Tu M. Nguyen/							